

My dear Sir:

I sent the papers
you forwarded me to a
friend who was over
my partner in practice in
North Carolina, with instruc-
tions to investigate. There
is no question as to his
capacity or integrity.

—S.P.
—S.P.

87

87.00

— \$6.98 & exps. no amount

This is his report:

" D. D. Colyrose brought
the land in question, on
time, from John L. Kinsey
and failed to pay. He was
 sued for possession, and
 recovery had against
~~him~~ his representative, he
 having died pendente lite.

Alex. Mitchell then bought
the land
of Kinsey and died. His
executors Brumtree and Cam-
eron, sold the land to

Edward now
will record
be my
guard the
but could
claim
would old
ings to recover possession

by Mitchell. This is not
probable shows rather the
dependent and a contracted form.
In having
recent heresies
in the person
of Mitchell after the
covery, the only

action that would
remain to the heirs of
Colyover would be
for damages against
Hitchell's heirs. At
all events, the statute of
Limitations acting, will give
the right to possess as is contained
by a deed, but on a bond
giving the right to demand
a deed on fulfillment
would by the law of that

sult but realize that
certainty is better than
doubt even when
adverse to your hope".

Yours very truly,

Abel C.

John A. Madelton & Sons.