Marie Sourgee, Konored Sir: "Dystander," notes, in the Cheago Onler Ocean, for some time, with much saturfaction and sympathy. I enclose a Clipping from byesterdhy's Ilobe Democrat, the leading Republican fafer of the State of museroure I think it Strutal in the Extreme. I have spent ten yeurs in the Mississippi and Vacuriand, and I Know from detual observation and Experience that many of Charges of against the negroes of that section, for which their lives are socreficed have not foundation. It must be very discon raging to the colored feafle to have one of the most powerful Republican Journals in Country justifying the lawless Classes of the South I in their Hellish work. I think Comme by the Bystunder on this arcticle, would go were

for to counter ach the bad effects. It is an outrage to claim that the negroes of the South are all rapeiet and that the south is doing the right Thing in mudering them by the wholesale. May God Leep you in your good work. An old time Abolitionish

MR. FREDERICK DOUGLASS is out in a manifesto on the subject of the recent elec-

tion, in which he expresses the opinion

that the colored people of the South will find better protection against outrage under the Cleveland administration than they have found under the administration of Harrison. He pathetically points out that the law should protect the weak against the strong. So it should. But in the cases

to which he refers he' very badly mixes the

elements of strength and weakness. He classes the whites who do the lynchings as the strong, and the negroes who are lynched as the weak. The fact is that the weak in such matters are the unfortunate and helpless women, and the strong are the

burly and brutal negroes who outrage them. No law and no administration can ever stand between such brutal crimes and their prompt and terrible punishment. The only way to stop the lynchings is to stop the ravishings.