

Wm. L. Garrison
Aug. 2 '69

I was somewhat delayed, my
letter having not been received
until this morning.

I understand you wish to
speak on the 1st of Nov. The
subject is a most important one,
be assured, & I shall be pleased
to hear of it, when a more
definite plan is formed.

As for your articles, on the
rights of man, &c. &c. the
concern of rights & remedy,
that are excellent, & for two
years may be well compiled
against the cause of an account.
If the editor suggests an account
of the nature of the work of the
school & think he may bring his
action at any time, without waiting
for the two years. It is true the old days

not distinctly give this remedy,
but, as I have said, it results
from the common sense of the
States & the general principles
of law. It seems to me, that
when the administration has
been in power, at least two
years, a final account to
be rendered to the several States,
may be better than no account.

As to the power to collect any
certain part of a creditor's
debt, I cannot see any difficulty.
The power is vested in a sheriff
in the case at law - in a constable
at equity. The Court, in deciding
the matter, I presume, will take both
jurisdiction, issue process, at
will & according to the exigency
of the case, against the person
& against the estate. Where,
therefore, assets are found in

the hands of law & equity, he may
be attached till he conforms
to the decree of the Court.

I confess the question which
I understood you to put in
your other letter, proceeds on
this: Whether, when there has
been a reference to a report,
given under, at the instance
of a particular creditor, and
before the 1st. July, a judgment
of confirmation would extend
to the benefit of other creditors?
The suit is at the instance of
one, who does not at all the
reference - the judgment, if
general at all, must affect
all the parties to that case.
How can other creditors come
in, and if so, how must
they come in? Since you
enquire to see, this question
has not been in practice;
I had not time to examine

it before I left home, but I
must do so by our next Court;
and I write now, in haste;
ask you your views, if any
they occur to you. It is
surely the best requires the
Court to be deliberate & pre-
sented but would not be
concluded to be out of the
question. Do come if you
can, as you had, under
the Court, and I will return
in my own way, I will
assist by a report to be made
in no haste, & will be
in my own way. I feel
rather than that it is
& the best must be
but I must do something
on the other side, if there be any
thing.

What his courage in
about our Code system is
that Pease does not, cannot
or will not understand it,
& of course will, in every case,
give such a decision as will
carry on basis as far as possible
to the old system. I fear he
has the sympathy of the whole
Court, except Woodward, as
undoubtedly he has the sympathy
of the Bar, the Circuit Bench,
& except yourself, is united
against it. They all want to get
back to the old practice of
having every thing done - evidence,
pleadings, issues of law,
issues of fact &c. &c.; during
term. Of course every thing
will be half done. I see no
hope of ever getting away from
the worst part of our old
system, except a strict con-
struction of the Constitution

Archives of the Court always,
and the same trials. But
I hope of the getting them
to any of the 10 pages, to
cut the same without regard
to the same. Thank you very
much for the same. I hope
to see you in the future.
I hope to see you in the
future. I hope to see you
in the future. I hope to see
you in the future.

Yours truly,
J. J. J.

Mr. J. J. J.
C. J. J.
J. J. J.