

PUBLISHERS OF
History of the Separate Coach
Act of Kentucky,

Containing a complete history of the Separate Coach Law of Kentucky; the movements of the opposition, and a full account of the suit of ANDERSON vs. L. & N. R. R. Co., known as the "Separate Coach Case," brought to test the validity of such laws. The work is being published under the auspices of the State Central Committee of Kentucky, in book form, nicely bound and illustrated, and will retail at \$1.00, with a view of raising funds to defeat such infamous laws.

OFFICE OF
The National Afro-Am
—AND—
DIRECTORY PUBLIS
Nos. 417 AND 419 UPPER TH
Z. W. MITCHELL, GENERAL MANAGER

Crossville, Ind., July

Hon. Albion W. Tourgee-;

Mayville, N.Y.-:

Dear Sir and

On this mail I forward to you one copy of our History of the An
arate Coach Movement of Kentucky, together with the compliments of
writer. I am now thinking of publishing an elaborate work covering
movement of the opposition to separate coach laws throughout the en
southland. AS we have won in this state it is the intention of those co
cerned to push the fight on through the entire south.

The work that I have in mind would cover the actions of the opposition
with half-tone cuts of the leading participants, group cuts of the var-
ious important committees etc., in a book of about 500 pages which coul
be sold for about \$2.00, thus affording a medium for moulding public sen
timent in favor of justice and right and at the same time be the source
of financial assistance in swelling the funds for carrying the work for
ward. You will possibly remember of having met me in Minneapolis, Minn.,
where I was engaged in running a store and carrying on a printing bus-
iness. I write you not in an official capacity but as a friend asking
your advice on the matter. Hoping an early reply,

I remain yours for success,

Z. W. Mitchell

One never decided fa-
vourably, is that ~~mentioned~~
in ~~Plenary~~ ~~or~~ ~~Frerguson~~
now pending in the
Supreme Court of the
United States, which
will be decided cer-
tainly two years be-
fore you, ^{Kentucky} can can
get there ~~people~~
J. C.

...
the opinion in ^{more} ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~case~~
I see that you have gained pre-
cisely what we gained two
years ago in ~~holding~~
that a state cannot make the
separate ~~each~~ ~~law~~ apply
to inter-state commerce.
This has been admitted
law for two years and
I can see no reason what-
ever for ~~exploring~~ ~~it~~.
The real question, the