

If you are satisfied, ^{beyond a reasonable doubt} that the assault al-
 leged, was committed by the Prisoner at the Bar,
 and that there was such penetration by
 the male organ as was possible in ~~any~~ a
 connection with a child of the age ^{and age} of the
 infant introduced before you - then the
 prisoner is guilty - If you are not satis-
 fied, beyond reasonable doubt that the
 injury which has been described before
 was ~~committed~~ ~~caused~~ caused by the
 penetration more or less complete
 of the male organ of the prisoner
 then you will either render a ver-
 dict of acquittal or a verdict
 of guilty of an assault with in-
 tent to commit a rape - Should
 you consider that the injury may
 have been caused by the Prisoner
 by other means - as the finger -
 or other instrument - then you
 will render a verdict for an
 assault with intent to com-
 mit a rape - As to the penetration re-
 quired I am of the opinion that any
 even the least entry or separation
 of the external private parts of the
 child assaulted, by the male organ of
 the Prisoner is sufficient.