

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE.

Burceaux, Sept 7 '06

Mrs. Emma K. Loring,  
Mayville, N.Y.

Dear Madam:

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your favor of Aug 27 - which I took the liberty of reading to Mr. Dicot and asked an explanation.

I think you are mistaken as to your having given me a list of cases in which fees were due the late Consul. You did mention that there were some fees due - but you gave me no list.

Again I must tell

from King Chiapella, Attorney, 121 Canal Street,  
New Orleans, informing to Judge Zouge's bill for \$1000  
(\$500) as "reasonable" and that it "will be paid in due  
time." Copy of the Judge's letter of Aug 6, 1904 and  
May 12, 1905 are among the papers. Both appealing for  
the fee. The last dated paper in the case (June 30, 1905)  
is from Friot to Benikubakie & Kant, Attorneys,  
840 Common St., New Orleans, putting the matter  
in their hands for collection and asking for further  
action. I find nothing later and Mr. Friot  
says that nothing has been done.

In the Maurice Corcoran affair, I find a

you that no other case than  
the Friandot matter has  
been settled since I came here  
- at least as far as my knowledge  
goes.

Nothing has ever been  
received in the Stimpfelter  
case - and nothing ever done in  
it since my coming.

I have questioned Mr.  
Friot about this - and he  
assures me that the fee has  
never been paid.

In the Henriquez  
case, I find the dossier packed  
away with the old papers in the  
back store-room. Nothing has  
ever been done in the matter  
since I assumed charge here  
and nothing ever received.  
Among the papers, I find letter

The dossier this endorsement "Messrs Richard & Cassin, Attys, Santa Barbara, California, writes concerning this claim by late Canal, but wrongly addressed to Los Angeles. Copy of letter correctly addressed sent July 3, 1905. E. K. J." The address of Eugene Crisman appears to be Avalon, California. Nothing has been done in this matter since I came here - and Mr Fricot says that nothing has been received.

Mr. F. is explaining the matter. I think his is that he gave you 1000 francs - as part of the salary allowed him ad interim. He informs me that he will write you fully - and I have asked him not to fail to do so promptly.

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE.

Regarding the Jombor matter you will pardon me for repeating my former remark that nothing had been accomplished. Of course some preliminary work had been done - some translations, some attestations - Mr. Nicot had gone to Pan once or twice - there the case would have rested indefinitely.

You will pardon me too if I say that of all the offices I was ever in, this was probably the worst as far as records were concerned. Everything was in a "jumble" - there was

things to your satisfaction.

Dear Madam,

Yours truly

W. D. Sample

American Central.

no order, regularity or system  
in anything and I had to  
revolutionize the entire  
method of doing business.

In this, I do not hold  
Mr. Fricot blameless far  
from it - but there was not  
a single file from which any  
information could be feared  
without wading through a  
mass of papers and putting  
them in order.

Mr. Fricot is by no  
means an ideal clerk - he  
is too careless and haphazard  
in his methods to suit my  
ideas.

I hope that Mr.  
F. may be able to explain