

NOVEL POINTS OF PRACTICE.

The case of the United States against Philip Ronzoni, a Custom-house broker, charged with bringing into port goods at an undervaluation, was brought up before Judge Benedict, in the United States Circuit Court, yesterday. It appears that several indictments were found against Ronzoni, which were quashed on motion of Judge Dittenhoefer and Mr. Post, his counsel. An information, to take the place of the indictments, was subsequently filed by District Attorney Bliss. Ronzoni's counsel thereupon moved to quash the information on the grounds that this was not a case in which he could be tried on an information, and that the information itself was irregular and not supported by a proper affidavit. The motion to quash was denied, and yesterday Ronzoni was surrendered on his cognizance, and the forfeiture theretofore entered on the same was remitted. Ronzoni was then arrested on a bench-warrant issued on the information, and was directed to plead. He refused to do so, on the advice of counsel, on the ground that the court had no jurisdiction. The court then ordered a plea of not guilty to be entered. The prisoner's counsel objected to the ordering of the plea by the court, because the power of the court to order such a plea refers only to cases on indictments, and not to cases proceeded on by informations. The objections taken by the prisoner's counsel were for the purpose of raising, by habeas corpus and certiorari, the right to try by informations. The matter will be appealed to the United States Supreme Court. Assistant District Attorney Foster appeared for the Government, and A. J. Dittenhoefer and L. F. Post for the prisoner.

Wm. H. C.  
Dec. 22nd 1876

I am writing at home quite  
Christmastide, and I am of the  
net quiet which precluded I  
been attempting to write you several  
interrupted with one thing and

another. What became of the Representatives? Mr. And-  
er's treatment as his last motion to withdraw them in-  
tending you the Court fearing as he alleged the effect of  
Anders' proposal as "no adjudication" Can you  
give me the address of Maj Wagner? How  
some information for him - with that he has been  
seen in foot, who's President? Can you send  
I should like to see as to my first letter in the name of  
the office - part Capitol spot not. Then being  
to feel uncertain - that's a fact; but why should  
I can't say - Certainly, there no particular reason -  
except our people in the Senate seem to be yielding  
write me. No one was appointed messenger

2090

Oxford NC  
Decr 22nd 1876

Dear A. W. Targie  
My Dear Judge:

I am writing at home quite late with proximity of Christmast reminds me of the rhyme describing the perfect quiet which precluded Mr. Nick's visit. Have been attempting to write you several times but have been interrupted with one thing and another. What became of the representatives, Mr. Prodzger continues as his last notation to inform them entirely from the Court fearing as he ~~was~~ the effect of Prodzger's proposal as "no adjudication" Can you give me the address of Maj Wagner? Show some information for him - wish to see him here now in fact, who's President, Can observe I shudder the fence as to my first letter in the name of the office - part Capital part not. I am beginning to feel uncertain - that's a fact; but why I should not say - Certainly, there no particular reason - except our people in the Senate seem to be yielding write me. Mr. Jones was appointed Treasurer

Yesterday I completed his bond last night  
Heard of yr effort at Frankfort in behalf of  
the bond. That matter too seems in a muddle -  
Please let me hear from you  
Yrs truly but somewhat blue  
W. A. Lewis

P.S. I enclose you a clipping from the "Times"  
on subject of Informing