

and know the exact strength of the other - and also the weakness. The fault is -

part in front and looking her separate individuals - not in her bringing her being so completely on the top of her hands; but, because the position was not so perfect that our in the act of generations the many of being so formed but one.

Part of the road you a long distance and must now may you rest as sweetly in the open fields as I shall expect on the way to course, (perhaps not much) - blankets -

Look Out Valley Ga. Sept. 8<sup>th</sup> 1863  
Dear Mr. George  
I don't know. I can't see mountains on the right, through which they seem almost incredible. - Oh! on the same principle the mountains of America after its discoverer, when Christopher Columbus had the first look at it, it is called Look Out Valley because one cannot look out of it, unless he look directly up and that he certainly cannot do with the hot August sun glaring down upon him as it does today. We came down here from Denton yesterday. It is about six miles. This valley is one of great value to our army, independently of the advantage which it gives us in a campaign against Chattanooga. They were building immense blast-furnaces for the manufacture of iron. There are no less than three splendid ones within a distance of five or six miles along this valley. The one at Denton could not have cost less than \$200,000. The "rebs" would feel the loss of these severely even if we were compelled to abandon the territory. But this we shall not be - "Rosa" holds what he gets with a grip of iron. - But I must go back on Market Hill -  
Good bye till evening -

Market Hill -  
Good bye till evening -  
A. S. Johnston

Emily

Darling:

You asked me for a critique upon the "Spasm of Sense". I enjoyed the reading of that article not a little though I do not entirely coincide with the opinions of the writer. I am not however in the way of writing critiques and have not thought of it with the intention of so doing. I could give you my written opinion on the same subject, but I have so frequently spoken it to you that you could hardly find it profitable or interesting - yet this is the only manner in which I could criticize Gail Hamilton. The error which she makes is - to my mind a fundamental one. She says the parents are the head and ornament of the family and the children are subordinate and secondary, or words to that effect, and that therefore it is the duty of the mother to consider her own happiness, comfort and enjoyment before that of her children. - There is an error here. (A turning house gives me light to write by.) It is every person's duty in seeking to decide on any course of action, to ask himself - not what will bring himself most pleasure - most temporary enjoyment, but how he shall best perform his duty to God himself and his fellows, - how he shall best subserve the purposes of his being. God has so mingled duty and pleasure that we have no means for completely separating them. Duty is never without pleasure, and pleasure - in the true sense - is scarcely to be found apart from duty. As the duties of the husband and wife and their interests are ever mingled and united, so should be their pleasures. The husband who acquires position and affluence in the world brings it as an offering to the feet of his wife and she partakes with him of its pleasures. He cannot possibly separate his fate from hers, he cannot prevent himself from either elevating

or degrading her. So too he cannot escape from her influence and the power of her association. If she be noble, true, and loving she will lift his spirit from the mire of worldliness, soothe his cares, share his sorrows, and charm the better part of his nature into action. It is absurd for any <sup>husband</sup> man to speak of his mission or life-work to the ignoring of his wife, or for a wife to speak of a mission apart from her husband. Her talents and wises are not of a dual nature. They are not called upon to perform one life-work at home and another in the world. The husband has no right to a life and a career separate from his wife and he cannot have it. He may strive for it and in part obtain it but it must ever be a partial and incomplete one, <sup>at best</sup> just as soon as the mystic words which make of two, one flesh, have been pronounced their ~~two~~ lives and missions are merged. The aim of the one ~~cannot~~ be the aim of the other, the thought of the one the thought of the other <sup>(for they are not married)</sup>. Neither of them has any right to any thought or labor apart from the other apart from their life-work. It is not the duty of the wife to aid the husband in his life-work, it is her duty to be her part of their life-work. What then are the duties of man and wife? They are duties to themselves to their fellows and <sup>to</sup> posterity. Of their duties to themselves the first and most apparent duty, is that of support. For this, it is the duty of both to labor. It is not the business of the husband to support a wife and family. It is the duty of husband and wife to support themselves and family. The wife need not labor in the same manner as the husband, she need not make briefs or post legions or command battalions, but she must give her energies to the accomplishment of the task. It may be that her part shall be

that her part of this life work shall be to cheer, encourage, refresh  
and invigorate her husband, that he may perform more faithfully and  
fully the labor devolving upon him. If this be so, then must she labor  
to render herself pleasing attractive inspiring to him - that his spirit  
may find rest comfort and strength in communion with hers. It is as  
the food which strengthens him for labor. Many a prettily dressed, charming, woman  
has saved an over wrought husband from commercial ruin. Beauty may  
be but skin deep and it throils the finest spirit to the core. A knot, a plait  
an arm flashing <sup>off</sup> from a sleeve, a well-gloved hand resting on the "customary slack"  
of the citizen's coat, - a collar, a ringer, a jewel - the rustle of a silken robe to  
and from the church of a Sunday, are slight things, - but Oh! how often  
do they, or even slighter things, inspire to incredible effort! Or it may be  
that she is required to aid this work, by leading others to admire, love  
and respect her. To a certain extent this must always be the case.  
If the wife fail to perform her part of this duty - the family may not lack  
sustenance, but the husband must exhaust in providing it, some  
part of that vitality which is necessary for some other part of the  
life work. We must remember always that "Husband & wife" <sup>is</sup>  
a firm in which the partners have no separable interests, and what weakens  
one must, of necessity, injure the other. If either fails in duty, both must  
suffer. They have not each a mission, both have one. Each may perform  
a different portion, but the needed parts should form one whole.  
And what is true of the duty of sustenance is true of all marital duties.  
No better illustration of this could possibly be given, than the means  
which God has prepared for the propagation of our race. Both sexes  
are necessary, but how different the functions of each. There is unity  
of design but diversity of action. But there are other duties, which devolve  
upon the married pair! very true the firm owes duties toward their  
fellow beings. Every unfortunate has a claim upon his brother  
mortals, and it is the duty of the firm to aid him, whether he be bank-  
rupt in money, or in pleasure - in happiness. It is the business of every  
couple to add their quota to the happiness and comfort of the world.  
This is done in a thousand various ways. Must they must have children?  
Very true, the propagation of the species is a duty. But how many? For every  
such question there is one full and complete answer, viz - the strength needed  
for the performance of one duty, should never be given to another. <sup>and if</sup>  
this be followed, the "home nest" will never be crowded, the mother never  
worn out with home care - Thus while it is by no means necessary  
nor proper, that - as you propose - the wife should ever maintain her  
separate individuality and interests, for this neither husband nor wife justly have -  
it is necessary that both should keep in sight their life work

to do as soon as Capt. Cromwell returns, unless I can get trans-  
ferred to some different position. I hope to get a staff appoin-  
ment, and so get me part out from his control. I hope  
is I cannot endure him longer. He will must leave. I do  
hope he would be transferred to the regular army before he comes  
back but as I see no hope of that I doubt know of any  
happy accident that can relieve me from the dilemma, which  
which I have long sought to avoid. There is a place in Gen. Rey-  
nolds' staff which I desire and may get but it is very doubtful  
- My tent-mate - Lt. Margaridge has just gone to bed -  
him also reminds me that I must have thought more than  
have written tonight. "Lilloo" and "Top" have both been  
and I have not heard write of them. You must be getting  
pretty well now - I set me see it must be about the <sup>week</sup> you  
next. Ah! you are getting back the roses now. I'll wager that you  
wish you could have your husband with you tonight, and who  
have ardently he desires it. If we get Chattanooga and hold  
of the River this Fall and winter I mean to leave myself  
ed somewhere, and then I will have you come down and  
for a time. I have set my heart out and can be  
disappointed. Would you like such a trip? You would save  
little to enjoy see your husband and very much that would  
very disagreeable though it might do you good.  
May angels guard your husband  
your dearest - Good Night Pleasant Dreams

Archibald Collier

July 9

A thousand kisses  
for the two letters I got  
this morning.

Collier

CHAUTAUQUE COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY 2013

Mrs A. W. Doulton

Conneaut

Ashtabula Co.

Ohio

Trenton Geo Sept 6<sup>th</sup> /  
" "  
Look out Valley Geo  
Sept 8<sup>th</sup> /  
" " 1860